

Preserved

p15

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

pol 130-07(1-11)

" 121-19 (174)

1924.

(police)

File No. 121-19

Serial Nos. 13, 15, 16, 21
and 21.

Later references.

With an enclosure

Preserve
m 15711/4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Five

Part B for

February 1922.

SUBJECT.

Exemption to the Police officers of the State travelling
the C & M. Station from the necessity of taking out
licenses for arms in their possession.

11813

III-1]

1920-21

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 121/19

FILE HEADING.

Amendment to the Mysore Arms Law Manual

From

Mr. J. G. of Police

No. 6. 3927 / 19. 20

Dated 27/30. 11. 20

Received 2. 12. 20.

Diary No. 11813]

Enclos. -

Spare Copies. -

[Serial No. / 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

H. With reference to the office letter No. 28240 Ppt/121-19-11. 26th June 1920 recommends that reciprocal exemptions may be granted in respect of officers of the State and B.M. Station, residing out of their limits in B.M. Station and the City of Bangalore.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1. Fills for orders with references

2. This is note properly worked up.

3. These put up as note after taking note of work in person.

D. M. 16/12

Mr. J. G. of Police
Mr. J. G. of Police
Mr. J. G. of Police

Mr. B. S.

(2)

Serial
No.

B.

V.

H.

4) The S.G. of police stated in his letter of 8th June 1920 that, under the Govt of India Resolution no 2125 of 21st March 1919, the exemption enjoyed by the Mysore police officers residing in and traversing through the C.M. Station was done away with and refused the Govt to get the orders of the Residency to allow the said officers to retain their arms under an exemption.

5) In reply to the above Govt intimated the attention of the S.G. to Clad of exemption 2 in Schedule I of the Indian Arms Rules, 1920, which seemed to cover the case in question and enquired if that was not a sufficient authority for the continuance of the privilege and whether any difficulty had since been experienced in practice.

6) The S.G. stated now in reply that the above clause only partially meets the restriction newly imposed as it exempts officials of the State passing through the Indian on duty with arms from the necessity of taking out licenses and recommends that reciprocal exemptions may be granted in respect of officers of the State & C.M. Station residing out of their limits in C.M. Station and the City of Bangalore respectively.

7) Sd/- with reference to para 3 with a copy of the Indian Arms Rules, 1920

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No.

121

of 1919.

Serial
No.

8) It is doubtful if ^{any} such a reciprocal arrangement has now to be arrived at; please see the marked portion in the last para of this office letter no 82169 of 22/8/08 to the Residency.

9) In this office letter of 26.11.20 the Dy P was requested to state if any difficulty has actually been experienced in the matter. The Dy's reply is silent on the point.

Adm

711

10) Memo put up to Dy P letter to the Residency as proposed by (M. S. G. 10.14.1919)

11) Dy P to the Residency letter

12) Copies of the draft rules and schedules are put up. Provision has not been made to exempt the police officers.

13) When the draft rules and schedules were first referred to the S. G. of Police, he pointed out that throughout the rules, no exemption appeared as regards Police and Excise officers.

14) Letters in order

15) Memo put up a typed draft

24/11/1919

16) Typed draft in final form

12/12/1919

15/12/1919

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Pr File No. 17 of 1919.

Serial
No.

18) Draft letter to the Resident
is subd for appls or other orders
D.M.
1/3

Rev: secy

3rd member)

1/2
3-3-21

D.M.
3/3

5118

4.3.21

1/2
3/3

19) J. Secy
D.M.
3/3

15

To The S. A. Resident

No Pol 965

dt 12.3.1921

Requests sanction to the
arrangement suggested above, on
the analogy of the permission granted
to the Mysore Police Officers to
retain possession of arms while
travelling on Railways under the
British Police Administration
within the State.

From the Secy to the Resident

No. 5595/145-1920 dt 22.6.1921

Enquires with reference to the
above whether the officials in
question are required to carry
or possess arms under the

CHIEF
SECRETARIAT
PROTECT CRIMINAL
24 MAR 1921

2/3
1/3

26531

5

J. R. S. III-2j

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Police File No. 121 of 1919

Serial
No.

Durbars Regulations in their capacity as such Durbar officials.
1) Draft letter to the D.G. of Police calling for the information is subd. Kind reference is also invited to para 14 supra wherein it has been noted that no exemption has been sought for in the case of Police and Excise officers.

~~Sub~~ 2) A revised draft, as per instructions is put up.

~~Sub~~ 2/6
20/6
20/6
a ship had been...
2; Masu & Mo at mo.
This file shd have been treated as unpunk, as such.
D.M.
4/8

Wright's slip

20 To the S. G. & Police
No 549 dt 5-8-1921

Enquiries whether the arms in respect to which exemption is sought are such as the officials concerned are required to carry or possess under the rules in their capacity as such officials.

From the S. G. & Police
No C 3427 dt 10.10.21
states that in the

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
PROTECTED
12 AUG 1921

- sub A 11/8
12/8

1516.

6

Serial
No.

letter of 22nd Sep 1921 about
the new Rules proposed to be
issued under the Mysore Arms
Regulation the subject of this
reference has been practically
disposed of.

23) The Arms Rules file has been
detained for ~~reference~~ subject reference. This
will be taken up after that subject is disposed
of.

24) Yes.

25) The I.G. has himself answered the question
raised by him in para 13.

(14)

26) A reply to the Residency for their enquiry (serial 16)
seems to be necessary.

diffs for work

27) It is a question whether

28) Yes

29) The same list of exemptions, as

there in Br. India. The question
is settled, ~~here~~ it is not advisable to
send in any committing reply, as is
necessary in this case. No reply need
be sent to the Residency now. No ~~not~~
called for. When the Residency wants
a reply, the question may be taken up.
The Dy's proposal is also dropped,
as can be seen from his letter.

Serial
No.

28/ May the papers be recorded for
the present?

29/ Yes. can
is 2

28/ 25/ 2

No. C 3927
9.20

(8)
Office of the Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.
dated Bangalore the 27th. November 1920.

30

296.4

Ch. Secy. From.
1 - DEC

A. Subrahmanya Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,

Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

The Revenue Secretary,

The Revenue Secretary,

to the Government of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. G. 28290/Pol 121-19-11 dated 26th June last enquiring whether the exemption granted in clause (d) of schedule I of the new Arms Act does not secure the exemption hitherto enjoyed by Mysore State officials residing in and passing through the Civil and Military Station, I have the honor to state that the above clause only partially meets the restriction newly imposed, as it exempts officials of the State passing through British India on duty with arms from the necessity to take out licenses.

The peculiar situation of the assigned Tract has contributed to officials of the State and C & M Station residing in different parts of Bangalore for the sake of convenience, so that we find officers of the State being in the Civil and Military Station, while officers of the Civil and Military Station reside in the City limit.

Such being the case it appears rather hard that officers who are exempted under the rules of their respective Government should be required to take out licenses for such arms as are required for their duty and protection and I would therefore recommend that reciprocal exemptions may be granted in respect of officers of the State and Civil and Military Station residing out of their limit.

From

The Inspector General of Police

To

The Revenue Secretary to Govt
of Mysore Bangalore

Dated

Despatched

Received

} 30th Nov/20

General No.
No.

C. 3927 / 19-20


Enclosure No.

7
in Civil and Military Station and the City of Bangalore respectively.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Inspector General of Police.

To

pol 121-19-15

The First Assistant to the

Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Sir,

*Pol 265
12th March 1921*

I am directed to state that the Inspector General of Police in Mysore brings to notice that clause (d) of Schedule I of the Indian Arms Rules 1920 which exempts officials of the State - ^{with arms,} passing through British India on duty, ~~and~~ from the necessity to take out licenses does not apply in the case of the officials of the State ~~and~~ residing in the C & M Station and that such Police Officers of the State who are exempted from the operation of the Mysore Arms Rules ^{are} ~~will be~~ required to take out licenses ^{for} ~~as~~ for possession of fire arms in the Station. He also states that the geographical position of the Assigned tract makes it a matter of necessity for the Durbar Police officers ^{to frequently} traverse ^{in order to} that area with arms in their ^{possession} ~~possession~~ ^{attend at the various} courts, and the Chief office stores, and that it is therefore necessary to obtain permission to the ~~said~~ officers residing in and traversing the C & M Station, for retaining possession of their arms without a license.

I am in these circumstances to request you to be so good as to obtain and communicate the sanction of the Hon'ble the Resident to the arrangement suggested above, on the analogy of the permission granted to the Mysore Police officers to retain possession of arms while travelling

on Railways under the British Police
administration within the State; vide -
correspondence ending with Mr. Copeland's
letter No. 1697 dated 18th March 1909 to the
Dist Magistrate, B. & M. Station.

I have etc.,

*W.
D. 1/3*

W. 3. 21.

Chief Secretary to Govt.

*27
12/2*

W. 2

Pol. 1083

FILE No. 26531

12

GEN. & REV. SECTT.

6 JUN 1921

R. O. 2-RPB-4 1921-1920
No. 5595 ENC.
145-1920.

Police 121-19-16

FROM

MAJOR C. T. O. PLOWDEN, I. A.,

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Revenue Department.

Dated Bangalore. 22nd June 1921.

SIR,

I am directed to invite a reference to your letter No. 965-Pol., dated the 12th March 1921, requesting that such of the Durbar officials as reside in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore and traverse it in the course of their duty in the State may be exempted from the necessity of taking out licenses for the arms in their possession.

2. I am to enquire whether the arms in respect of which exemption is sought are such as the officials concerned are required to carry or possess under the Durbar's regulations in their capacity as such Durbar officials.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]

for SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Police.)

, the

191

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

M. M.

from the necessity
of taking out license
for arms in their
possession

Section Police
File No. 121-19
Serial No. 7520

No. 579

The D. G. of Police
Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your
letter No. C. 3927 / 19-20 d /
27/30th Nov 1920 regarding
the grant of ~~exemption~~ ex-
emption to the ^{Police} officers of the
State (and) to the ^{travelling} station
residing out of their
limits in the ^{to} station
of the city of
over.

13/5/21

Bombay respectively, and
at the instance of the Resi-

dency directed to enquire
whether the arms in respect
of which exemption is

sought are such as the
officers concerned are re-
quired to carry or possess
under the ^{Army} ~~Residence~~ Rules
in their capacity as
such ~~Residence~~ officials.

2. A very early reply
is requested.

[Faint handwritten notes and signatures, including "J. C. ...", "V. C.", and "for Secy"]

List of enclosures.

Copied by -
Examined by

RECEIVED
JUDICIAL BRANCH
OCT 1921
No. C. 3927.
10 24

1376 (15)
121-19-17

Office of the Inspector General of Police
Camp, Mysore, Dated 10th October 1921.

From

A . Subrahmanya Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
(Camp) Mysore.

To

B . Ramaswamaiya, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
General Department.

Sir,

In reply to correspondence ending with your letter No. J. 579/Police 121-19-17 dated the 5th August 1921, I have the honor to state that in my letter No. C.1591 dated 22nd September 1921 about the new Rules proposed to be issued under the Mysore Arms Regulation, the subject of this reference has been practically disposed of. Arms which form part of equipment or which are presented by Government are exempt from license. Other Arms though owned by Police Officers have not been exempted in Mysore and need not be exempt in Civil and Military Stations

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most ovedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

mas.

a.